RATING YEAR 2017-2018

DISTRICT NUMBER district #

Select An Option

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

| Name: ALPINE ISD(022901) | | Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Status: Passed Rating: A = Superior District Score: 98 | | Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM | | |
| | | | | 10 |
| | | Passing Score: 60 | | |
| # | Indicator Description | | Updated | Score |
| 1 | | and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of ding on the school district's fiscal year end date of | 4/20/2018 8:10:58 AM | Yes |
| 2 | Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and m 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B. | naterial weaknesses. The school district must pass s indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to | | |
| 2.A | Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on t American Institute of Certified Public Accountant external independent auditor determines if there | s (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The | 4/20/2018 8:10:58 AM | Yes |
| 2.B | Did the external independent auditor report that weaknesses in internal controls over financial refunds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.) | the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material porting and compliance for local, state, or federal | 4/20/2018 8:10:59 AM | Yes |
| 3 | end? (If the school district was in default in a pri years if the school district is current on its forbed payments are made on schedule for the fiscal ye defaults that are not related to monetary default terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master pr | ear being rated. Also exempted are technical ts. A technical default is a failure to uphold the comissory note even though payments to the agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor | 4/20/2018 8:10:59 AM | Yes |
| 4 | Did the school district make timely payments to Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue | | 4/20/2018 8:10:59 AM | Yes |
| 5 | Was the total unrestricted net position balance (I appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities than zero? (If the school district's change of studies or more, then the school district passes this indicates the school district passes the school district pass | es column in the Statement of Net Position greater dents in membership over 5 years was 7 percent | 4/20/2018 8:10:59 AM | Yes |
| | | | | 1 Multiplio Sum |

| | E CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | 1 | 1 |
|----|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 6 | Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.) | 4/20/2018 8:10:59 AM | 10 |
| 7 | Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.) | | 10 |
| 8 | Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.) | 4/20/2018 8:11:00 AM | 10 |
| 9 | Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days? | 4/20/2018 8:11:00 AM | 10 |
| 10 | Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.) | 4/20/2018 8:11:01 AM | 10 |
| 11 | Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.) | 4/20/2018 8:11:01 AM | 8 |
| 12 | Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.) | 6/19/2018 11:04:04 AM | 10 |
| 13 | Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function? | 4/20/2018 8:11:01 AM | 10 |
| 14 | Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.) | 4/20/2018 8:11:01 AM | 10 |
| 15 | Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship? | 4/20/2018 8:11:02 AM | 10 |
| | | | 98 Weighte Sum |
| | | | 1 Multiplie Sum |
| | | | 98 Scor |

DETERMINATION OF RATING

| Α. | he district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard evement regardless of points earned. | | |
|----|---|--------|--|
| В. | Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15) | | |
| | A = Superior | 90-100 | |
| | B = Above Standard | 80-89 | |

| C = Meets Standard | 60-79 |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| F = Substandard Achievement | <60 |

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.4.1.0